



Interview Summary

Application No.
09/223,957

Applicant(s)
Date et al.

Examiner
Gary L. Kunz

Group Art Unit
1623



RECEIVED
DEC 04 2001
TECH CENTER 1600/2909

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gary L. Kunz

(3) _____

(2) Dianna L. DeVore

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 4, 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4 and 6-20

Identification of prior art discussed:

prior art admission in the specification on page 12, lines 1 - 14 and page 14, last paragraph.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner indicated that an advisory action would be forthcoming wherein the obviousness rejection has been maintained because there is no data showing unexpected results using the now claims hydrophobic polymers versus the SepPak C18 material in the desalting and concentrating of nucleic acids. Ms. DeVoe indicated that the applicants would provide just such data to overcome the outstanding rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Gary L. Kunz

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY	DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------	------------



EXAMINER	TECH CENTER	DEC 04 2001
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Diana L. Devore (3) _____
(2) Howard Owens (4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Declaration

was submitted in response to a request by Examiner Kunc
in an interview dated 12-4-1999. The declaration
was sufficient in presenting evidence to overcome the
rejection of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Not: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.